It is possible that in our enthusiasm we have gone too fast for some. Spanish officials there were, contemptuous and patronizing, who sought to paint the primitive *Indio* (Indian) as a savage pure and simple j or what was even worse, as much less than a man, an anthropoid. The same sort of querulous thought was reflected by uninformed Americans.

When the question of the ratification of the Treaty of Paris was before the United States Senate, one eminent Senator declared that he was entirely against "the incorporation of a mongrel and semi-barbarous population into our body politic." Another Senator on the same occasion expressed similar abhorrence at the idea of annexing a land tenanted by "an heterogeneous compound of Oriental humanity." When the Philippine Bill was later before the United States Congress and the question of giving to the Islands a representative assembly was under consideration by the House of Representatives, a prominent member went to the extreme of calling the Filipinos "pirates" and "barbarians" "incapable of civilization." Ridiculous as it may seem in perspective, in the early days of American administration in the Islands it was held that a Filipino could not drive a team of American horses, and that he could not be entrusted with the duties of ordinary clerkships. It is slight consolation to recall that a similar warped attitude of mind was reflected in ante-Revolutionary days in the United States when Englishmen were wont to term the Americans "egregious cowards," to ridicule their manners and ways of living, and to prophesy that if Great

Britain abandoned the colonies they would soon sue for succor.

Happily those who believe in the God-given superiority of the white man over the brown man, the yellow man, and the black man are getting fewer in number. Happily also the capacity or incapacity of the members of a race is not determined by the virulence of epithets thrown at them but is judged by facts in the court of public opinion. And as can